Diskrete Mathematik

Exercise 14

14.1 Prenex Normal Form (*)

For each of the following formulas, find an equivalent formula in the prenex normal form.

- i) $(\forall x P(x)) \rightarrow Q(x)$
- ii) $\forall z \exists y \left(P(x, g(y), z) \lor \neg \forall x Q(x) \right) \land \neg \forall z \exists x \neg R(f(x, z), z)$

14.2 The Barber of Zürich (*)

Use Theorem 6.13 to show that there does not exist in Zürich a barber who shaves all those and exactly those who do not shave themselves.

14.3 The Exercise 14 (* * *)

Prove the following statement about the students who attend the Discrete Mathematics lecture:

"There exists a student, such that if this student solves Exercise 14, then all students solve Exercise 14."

To this end, proceed in following steps:

- a) Let *U* be the set of all students who attend the Discrete Mathematics lecture. Let *P* be the predicate defined as P(s) = 1 if and only if the student *s* solves Exercise 14. Describe the above statement by a formula *F* that uses *P*.
- **b)** Show that *F* is a tautology (that is, show that it is true for any *U* and *P*).
- c) Find a different (interesting) interpretation for *F*, which defines *U* and *P*.

14.4 Formulas and Statements (* *)

For each of the following expressions, determine whether it is syntactically correct, and, if so, whether it is a formula or a statement about formulas.¹ If an expression is a statement, decide whether it is true or false (each time justify your answer).

a)
$$\forall x \exists y (P(z) \leftrightarrow Q(f(f(x,z),y)))$$

b) $(\forall x P(x)) \models P(x)$

¹Whenever parentheses are not necessary, they can be omitted. Parentheses do not influence correctness.

- c) $(P(x) \models P(x)) \equiv Q(x)$
- **d)** $\{P(x), P(f(a))\} \models P(a)$

14.5 Calculi

a) (*) Decide which of the following rules are correct (justify your answers):

 $\begin{array}{ll} \{F\} \vdash_{R_1} F \lor G & \quad \{F \land G\} \vdash_{R_2} F & \quad \{\neg (F \land G)\} \vdash_{R_3} \neg F \land \neg G \\ \{F, F \to G\} \vdash_{R_4} G & \quad \{F \to G\} \vdash_{R_5} \neg F \to \neg G & \quad \{F, G\} \vdash_{R_6} F \land G \end{array}$

b) (\star \star) Let *K* be the calculus, consisting of those of the rules in Subtask a), which are correct. Using *K*, derive formally the formula $A \wedge B \wedge C \wedge D$ from the following set of formulas:

$$\{(D \land A) \to C, \ A \land B, \ B \land A, \ (B \lor C) \to D\}$$

- c) (* *) Is $K' = \{R_2, R_4\}$ complete? Justify your answer.
- **d)** $(\star \star)$ Give an example of a calculus, which is complete but not sound.

14.6 Resolution

- a) (\star) Prove the following statements using the resolution calculus.
 - i) $F = (A \lor B) \land (\neg E) \land (\neg B \lor D) \land (\neg D \lor E) \land (\neg A \lor B)$ is not satisfiable.
 - **ii)** $G = (\neg B \land \neg C \land D) \lor (\neg B \land \neg D) \lor (C \land D) \lor B$ is a tautology.
 - iii) $H = A \land C$ is a logical consequence of $M = \{A \rightarrow C, B \rightarrow A, A \lor B\}$.
- **b)** $(\star \star \star)$ Intuitively, the goal is to show that from a finite set of finite clauses, after a finite number of applications of derivation rules, no new clauses can be derived. More specifically, let \mathcal{K} be a finite set of finite clauses and let $\mathcal{K}_0, \mathcal{K}_1, \ldots$ be a sequence of applications of derivation rules, such that $\mathcal{K}_0 = \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{K}_i = \mathcal{K}_{i-1} \cup \{K\}$ for all i > 0, where $\{K', K''\} \vdash_{\mathsf{res}} K$ for some $K', K'' \in \mathcal{K}_{i-1}$. Show that there exists an nsuch that $\mathcal{K}_m = \mathcal{K}_n$ for all m > n.
- c) $(\star \star \star)$ Show that the statement from Subtask b) is no longer true for an infinite set \mathcal{K} of finite clauses. More precisely, let $\mathcal{K} = \{\{A_j, \neg A_{j+1}\} \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Show that there exists an infinite sequence $\mathcal{K}_0, \mathcal{K}_1, \ldots$, such that $\mathcal{K}_0 = \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{K}_i = \mathcal{K}_{i-1} \cup \{K\}$ for all i > 0, where $\{K', K''\} \vdash_{\mathsf{res}} K$ for some $K', K'' \in \mathcal{K}_{i-1}$, and for all $i > 0, \mathcal{K}_i \neq \mathcal{K}_{i-1}$.