

Diskrete Mathematik

Exercise 3

Exercises 3.1 and 3.3 give **bonus points**, which can increase the final grade. The solution to this exercise must be your own work. You may not share your solutions with anyone else. See also the note on dishonest behavior on the course website: <https://crypto.ethz.ch/teaching/DM20/>.

3.1 Expressing Relationship of Humans in Predicate Logic (★) (3 Points)

Consider, as in the lecture, the universe of all humans (including those who died) and the following predicates with their standard biological understanding:

$$\begin{aligned}m(x) = 1 &\iff "x \text{ is male.}" \\f(x) = 1 &\iff "x \text{ is female.}" \\par(x, y) = 1 &\iff "x \text{ is parent of } y."$$

Express the following statements as a formula in predicate logic, using only the above predicates (in particular, do *not* use the predicate equals, often also written as $=$). No justification is required.

- a) x is great-grandfather of y .
- b) x and y are half-siblings (i.e., x and y share exactly one parent).

3.2 Quantifiers and Predicates

In this exercise the universe is fixed to the set \mathbb{Z} of integers.

- a) For each of the following statements, write a formula, in which the only predicates are less, equals and prime (instead of $\text{less}(n, m)$ and $\text{equals}(n, m)$ you can write $n < m$ and $n = m$ accordingly).¹ You can also use the symbols $+$ and \cdot to denote addition and multiplication.
 - i) (★) If the product of two integer numbers is positive, then at least one of these numbers is positive.
 - ii) (★) For every natural number, one can find a strictly greater natural number that is divisible by 3.
 - iii) (★★) Every even integer greater than 2 is a sum of two primes.

¹Note that $\text{less}(n, m)$ is true if n is *strictly* smaller than m , so it is false for $n = m$.

Which of the above statements are true? (You do not need to justify this.)

b) Consider the following predicates $P(x)$ and $Q(x, y)$:

$$P(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x > 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad Q(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & xy = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

In this context, describe the following statements in words. Also, for each statement, decide whether it is true or false.

- i) $(\star) \forall x \exists y Q(x, y)$
- ii) $(\star) \exists x (\forall y \neg Q(x, y) \wedge \exists y P(y))$

3.3 Finding an Interpretation for a Formula $(\star \star)$

(5 Points)

Consider the formula

$$F = \forall x \exists y \exists z (\neg(x = y) \wedge \neg P(x, y) \wedge \neg(x = z) \wedge P(x, z)).$$

For the following two subtasks, no justification is required.

- a) Find an *infinite* universe U and a predicate $P : U^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ such that F is true.
- b) Let $n \geq 3$ be a natural number. Find a universe U with n elements (i.e., $|U| = n$) and a predicate $P : U^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ such that F is true.

Note: The universe U and the predicate P have to be defined in terms of n , such that F is true for *all* $n \geq 3$. In particular, no fixed choice of n (say, $n = 3$) is allowed.

3.4 Order of Quantifiers $(\star \star)$

Argue why the statement **a)** is *true*, and why the statement **b)** is *false*.²

- a) $\exists y \forall x P(x, y) \models \forall x \exists y P(x, y)$.
- b) $\forall x \exists y P(x, y) \models \exists y \forall x P(x, y)$.

3.5 Winning Strategy $(\star \star)$

Alice and Bob play a game in which the stake is a chocolate bar. Rules of the game are the following: Alice chooses two integers a_1, a_2 and Bob chooses two integers b_1, b_2 . Alice wins whenever $a_1 + (a_2 + b_1)^{|b_2|+1} = 1$ and Bob wins otherwise.

- a) First, consider the case when Alice and Bob announce all their numbers at the same time. Give a formula that describes the statement “Alice has a winning strategy.” Is this statement true?
- b) In the second case, Alice and Bob announce their numbers one by one. That is, first Alice announces a_1 , then Bob announces b_1 , then Alice announces a_2 , and at the end Bob replies with b_2 . Once again, give a formula that describes the statement “Alice has a winning strategy.”. Is this statement true in this case?

Due on 6. October 2020.
Exercises 3.1 and 3.3 are graded.

²At this point you should only give an intuitive argument. To turn this argument into a proof, we would need the formal definitions from Chapter 6.