A Property of the Intrinsic Mutual Information

Matthias Christandl Centre for Quantum Computation DAMTP, University of Cambridge Cambridge CB3 0WA United Kingdom

e-mail: matthias.christandl@qubit.org

Renato Renner¹
Computer Science Department
ETH Zürich
CH-8092 Zürich
Switzerland

e-mail: renner@inf.ethz.ch

Stefan Wolf ² Département d'Informatique et R.O. Université de Montréal Montréal, QC Canada H3C 3J7

e-mail: wolf@iro.umontreal.ca

Abstract — The so-called intrinsic mutual information is an important measure in the context of information-theoretic secret-key agreement. We prove a property of this information measure which, in particular, strongly simplifies its computation. More generally, our result is useful for analyzing the correlation of two random variables conditioned on a third one.

I. Definitions and Motivation

The intrinsic (mutual) information [2] between two discrete random variables X and Y, given a third random variable Z, is defined as

$$I(X;Y\downarrow Z) := \inf_{\overline{Z}} I(X;Y|\overline{Z}) ,$$

where the infimum is taken over all discrete random variables \overline{Z} such that $XY \to Z \to \overline{Z}$ is a Markov chain. This minimization includes, in other words, all discrete conditional probability distributions, or discrete channels, $P_{\overline{Z}|Z}$.

The intrinsic information is useful in a context where two parties, being connected by a public channel, and having access to (repeated realizations of) random variables X and Y, respectively, want to generate a key being secret even if a possible adversary possesses some knowledge, specified by Z. In fact, it was shown [2] that $I(X;Y\downarrow Z)$ is an upper bound on the rate S=S(X;Y||Z) at which such a key can be extracted. Another recent result [3] states that $I(X;Y\downarrow Z)$ is a lower bound on the rate at which secret-key bits are required for distributing pieces of information X and Y by public communication, leaving a possible wire-tapper with no more information than Z.

Since the intrinsic information is defined by an infimum ranging over the set of all possible discrete conditional probability distributions $P_{\overline{Z}|Z}$, it is a priori not easy to compute. In particular, to prove that $I(X;Y|\overline{Z})>0$ holds, it is not enough to show that $I(X;Y|\overline{Z})$ is strictly positive for all Markov chains $XY\to Z\to \overline{Z}$: The minimum might not be attained by any particular channel since the space of discrete channels is not a compact set. Our result is a step towards the better understanding of $I(X;Y\downarrow Z)$: We prove that the minimum is indeed taken by a specific channel $P_{\overline{Z}|Z}$ and, moreover, that this minimum can be reached for a channel whose output alphabet is not larger than the alphabet of Z.

As a consequence, the following is true for all random variables X, Y, and Z (where the range Z of Z is finite): If there exists a Markov chain $XY \to Z \to \overline{Z}$ such that $I(X;Y|\overline{Z}) = 0$ holds, then there exists a Markov chain $XY \to Z \to \overline{Z}_{fin}$,

where $\overline{Z}_{\text{fin}}$ is now a *finite* random variable with range $\overline{Z}_{\text{fin}} = \mathcal{Z}$, such that $I(X; Y | \overline{Z}_{\text{fin}}) = 0$ holds.

II. Main Results and Conclusions

Theorem. If the range Z of Z is finite, then there exists a finite random variable \overline{Z} , having the same range Z, such that $XY \to Z \to \overline{Z}$ is a Markov chain and

$$I(X; Y \downarrow Z) = I(X; Y | \overline{Z})$$
.

The infimum over discrete channels from Z to \overline{Z} in the definition of the intrinsic information can thus be replaced by a minimum over channels with output alphabet Z.

Corollary 1. If the range Z of Z is finite, then

$$I(X;Y\downarrow Z)=\min_{\overline{Z}}I(X;Y|\overline{Z})$$

where the minimum is taken over all random variables \overline{Z} with range \mathcal{Z} such that $XY \to Z \to \overline{Z}$ is a Markov chain.

In particular, this result simplifies the task of proving that the intrinsic information of a given triple of random variables is non-vanishing [1].

If and only if $I(X;Y|\overline{Z})$, the mutual information of random variables X and Y with respect to \overline{Z} , vanishes, then X and Y are independent conditioned on \overline{Z} . This immediately proves the following corollary.

Corollary 2. If the range \mathcal{Z} of Z is finite, then the following statements are equivalent:

- There exists a discrete random variable Z̄ such that XY → Z → Z̄ is a Markov chain, and X and Y are independent conditioned on Z̄.
- 2. There exists a finite random variable \overline{Z} with range Z such that $XY \to Z \to \overline{Z}$ is a Markov chain, and X and Y are independent conditioned on \overline{Z} .
- 3. $I(X; Y \downarrow Z) = 0$.

REFERENCES

- N. Gisin, R. Renner, and S. Wolf, Linking classical and quantum key agreement: is there a classical analog to bound entanglement?, in *Algorithmica*, vol. 34, pp. 389–412, 2002.
- [2] U. Maurer and S. Wolf, Unconditionally secure key agreement and the intrinsic conditional information, *IEEE Transactions* on *Information Theory*, Vol. 45, No. 2, pp. 499–514, 1999.
- [3] R. Renner and S. Wolf, New bounds in secret-key agreement: the gap between formation and secrecy extraction, in *Proceedings of EUROCRYPT 2003*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer-Verlag, 2003.

¹Supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNF).

²Supported by Canada's NSERC.